

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

PATRICIA AIKEN,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

THOMAS M. SNEE, et al.,

Defendant(s).

2:13-CV-1768 JCM (VCF)

ORDER

Presently before the court is *pro se* plaintiff Patricia Aiken's motion for summary judgment. (Doc. # 2). *Pro se* defendant Thomas M. Snee filed a response in opposition (doc. # 10), and plaintiff filed a reply (doc. # 14).

The instant motion consists of nothing more than a cover page followed by sixty-seven pages of attached documents relating to a dispute over employment compensation between plaintiff and defendant Snee. (Doc. # 2). Plaintiff has not filed a complaint in this matter.

As there has been no complaint filed, the court is unable to grant summary judgment. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 3 ("A civil action is commenced by filing a complaint with the court."); Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 ("A party may move for summary judgment, *identifying each claim or defense* — or the part of each claim or defense — on which summary judgment is sought.") (emphasis added).

Because plaintiff has not filed a complaint and therefore the court cannot identify any cognizable claims upon which she may be entitled to summary judgment, the instant motion will be denied.

1 Accordingly,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff's motion for
3 summary judgment (doc. # 2) be, and at the same time hereby is, DENIED.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for expedited consideration of summary
5 judgment (doc. # 16) is DENIED as moot.

6 DATED April 2, 2014.

7
8 
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE